

66 1. Preimplantation testing for structural chromosomal 67 rearrangements (PGT-SR)

68
69 Structural chromosomal rearrangements form a major indication category for preimplantation genetic
70 testing. There are different types of structural chromosomal rearrangements: reciprocal and Robertsonian
71 translocations, deletions, duplications and inversions, all of which may be inheritable or occur *de novo*.
72 Familial reciprocal and Robertsonian translocations constitute the most common indications for PGT-SR.

73 In case of familial rearrangements, preimplantation testing for structural rearrangements (PGT-SR)
74 provides an opportunity to identify chromosomally unbalanced progeny at the earliest stages of embryo
75 development.

76 Several methods are applied to perform PGT-SR, amongst which FISH, aCGH and NGS. PGT-SR is mostly
77 performed on embryonic biopsies taken at the cleavage stage (Day 3 post-insemination) or the blastocyst
78 stage (Day 5-7 post-insemination).

79 1.1. FISH-based PGT-SR

80 FISH-based PGT is mainly applied for inherited chromosomal rearrangements but can also be used for
81 embryo sexing in X-linked diseases (if direct *mutation* testing is not applicable).

82 FISH enables enumeration of chromosomal loci that are involved in structural rearrangements or are
83 indicative of sex chromosomes. Based on signal scoring chromosomal imbalance or embryo sex can be
84 established and subsequently balanced embryos or embryos of the non-affected sex can be selected for
85 transfer.

86 Disadvantages of the FISH technique constitute its technical nature: diagnosis is based on visual inspection
87 of fluorescent signals, making loss of DNA integrity and overlapping signals two of the major problems.
88 Furthermore, genomic information is limited to the loci targeted by the probes used.

89 Therefore, FISH-based PGT is acceptable for rearrangements involving small fragments or subtelomeric
90 regions of chromosomes that are difficult or impossible to detect using other methods.

91 Laboratory issues

92 The principle of the FISH technology is based on the use of specific DNA probes that are labelled with
93 distinctive fluorochromes (either direct or indirect via a hapten). The DNA probes and the target DNA,
94 typically embryonic interphase nuclei, are (simultaneously) denatured and left to anneal. Following
95 hybridization, results are visualized via fluorescence microscopy.

96 Many variations in FISH methods have been published and all appropriately validated methods are
97 acceptable. The method used should have been previously implemented, tested and validated in the PGT
98 centre.

99 FISH protocol – structural rearrangements

100 For structural rearrangements, it is recommended that the probe set contains at least sufficient probes to
101 detect all expected unbalanced variants of the chromosomal rearrangement. The analysis of the predicting

102 segregation outcome for translocation carriers should include an assessment of the configuration of the
103 quadrivalent (alternate, adjacent 1, adjacent 2, 3:1; 4:0 and meiosis II nondisjunction).

104 It is recommended that a combination of three informative probes (two distal and one proximal, or two
105 proximal and one distal probe in correlation to the translocation break points) be used to detect all
106 unbalanced segregation products of a reciprocal translocation. For Robertsonian translocations and
107 inversions two probes are acceptable. For deletions and duplications, locus-specific probes for the deleted
108 or duplicated region should be used and a control probe should be included in the diagnostic cycle.

109 Where suitable probes are not available, it is acceptable to use probe combinations that cannot detect
110 some unbalanced forms of a rearrangement, provided that they have been assessed to be non-viable in a
111 recognizable pregnancy or to have a very low prevalence. It has to be mentioned in the (pre-validation)
112 report that there are unbalanced forms that cannot be detected, and patients should be counselled to this
113 effect. A cytogeneticist or suitably qualified person should determine which probe combination to use.

114 PGT diagnosis on a single mononucleate cell is acceptable for chromosomal rearrangements, provided that
115 there are at least two informative probes for each chromosome involved in an unbalanced form of the
116 rearrangement that is considered likely to be prevalent or viable in a recognizable pregnancy.

117 PGT diagnosis based on concordant results from two mononucleate cells is recommended where there is
118 only one informative probe available for any of the chromosomes involved in an unbalanced form of the
119 rearrangement that is considered likely to be prevalent or viable in a recognizable pregnancy.

120 Blastocyst biopsy for a FISH-based PGT diagnosis is acceptable, provided that special care is taken to avoid
121 overlapping cells. On average a TE sample contains 5-10 cells, which in theory allows for a more reliable
122 diagnosis. However, the multi cell nature bears the possibility of discordant results in the different cells
123 because of a technical failure (sub-optimal FISH conditions) or true *chromosomal mosaicism*. Reporting
124 discordant results should be regulated and genetic counselling should be provided to the couple to explain
125 the possible impact on the reliability of the PGT diagnosis.

126 The use of additional probes to screen for aneuploidies of chromosomes not involved in the rearrangement
127 is acceptable. If multiple rounds of FISH are being applied, the probes indicative of the rearrangement
128 should be included in the first round.

129 **FISH protocol – sexing in case of X-linked diseases**

130 For embryo sexing, it is recommended that the probe set contains at least probes specific for the
131 centromere region of the X and Y chromosome and one autosome.

132 The use of additional probes to screen for aneuploidies of autosomes is acceptable. If multiple rounds of
133 FISH are being applied, the probes indicative of embryo sex (X and Y) should be included in the first round.

134 PGT diagnosis on a single mononucleate cell is acceptable for sexing.

135 It should be noted that FISH-based PGT for sexing to exclude transmission of X-linked diseases could be less
136 advantageous when compared with amplification-based diagnosis of the disease-associated mutation
137 alongside gender determination. A haplotyping-based diagnosis allows for identification of unaffected
138 males as well as carrier females.

139

140 Turnaround time

141 The turnaround time for FISH-based PGT-SR depends on the number of embryos analysed and the number
142 of hybridization rounds applied. According to recommendations from commercial probe manufacturers the
143 hybridization time for each round should be at least four hours, but laboratories may develop and validate
144 their own protocol that will shorten the time for hybridization while maintaining the intensity and
145 brightness of the fluorescent signals. Thus, a clinical cycle report can be obtained within 4-48 hours from
146 sample fixation to signal scoring.

147 Documentation

148 The patient's file should include relevant laboratory documentation:

- 149 - high resolution (550-800Mb) GTG-band-based parental karyotype preferable with FISH
150 verification of chromosome regions involved in structural rearrangements. Also, it may
151 include a karyotype of the affected child or other family member,
- 152 - results of cytogenetic analysis of previous unbalanced pregnancies or preimplantation
153 embryos,
- 154 - genetic counselling report with recommendations for PGT-SR, an indication of the testing
155 method and the benefits and the limitations of the test,
- 156 - the ***informed consent*** of the couple with risk assessment and indication of test limitations.

157 **Laboratory infrastructure, equipment and materials**

158 Infrastructure

159 The following recommendations are for the laboratory space:

- 160 • The laboratory should be well-ventilated to minimize the effect of any noxious fumes. This is
161 particularly important if cells are fixed using methanol and acetic acid. In this case the use of a fume
162 cabinet for the fixation steps is recommended.
- 163 • FISH outcomes, including cell spreading and fixation, are dependent on humidity. The humidity in
164 the FISH laboratory should be controlled and stable. FISH protocols should be optimized in these
165 conditions.
- 166 • FISH signals may be bleached or weakened in bright light. It is recommended that the FISH
167 laboratory be fitted with variable intensity incandescent lighting. Fluorescent lighting is acceptable.
168 The slides should be stored cool and in light-tight storage boxes or maps.

169 Equipment

- 170 • A FISH-based PGT diagnosis requires the following equipment: a fluorescent microscope equipped
171 with appropriate filters for the fluorescent dyes used, a water bath and a hybridization device. A
172 fluorescent image capture system is preferred for documenting FISH images.

173 Materials & reagents

- 174 • Required materials are glass slides and coverslips, and a probe set specific for the chromosomal
175 structural rearrangement of interest.
- 176 • Daylight should be avoided during hybridization and post-hybridization steps.
- 177 • The use of commercial probes is recommended since they generally come with ***quality control (QC)***
178 and validation reports.
- 179 • The use of homemade probes is acceptable with appropriate preclinical ***quality assurance (QA)/QC***
180 and validation.

- 181 • It is recommended that all probe vials be tested before clinical application, to confirm that they
182 contain the correct chromosome-specific probe and are labelled with the correct fluorochrome or
183 hapten. Furthermore, that they are informative for the intended PGT-SR couple, and meet
184 documented acceptance levels for signal specificity, brightness and discreteness. Batch numbers
185 should be recorded to ensure continuous traceability.
- 186 • It is recommended that only appropriately qualified personnel (as documented in written
187 competency lists) authorize selection of probes for clinical use.
- 188 • In case of a Robertsonian translocation, fluorescent probes for any locus on the long arm of the
189 two acrocentric chromosomes involved in the rearrangement can be used. For reciprocal
190 translocations, alpha-satellite probes, locus-specific probes, or sub-telomere probes indicative of
191 the translocated regions may be used. For inversions, mostly locus-specific probes for the short
192 and the long arm of the intended chromosome are used, possibly combined with alpha-satellite
193 repeat probes. For the detection of deletions or duplications it is preferable to use locus-specific
194 probes indicative of the target chromosomal region combined with a control probe (alpha-satellite
195 or subtelomere probe) to discriminate between a true deletion/duplication and a whole
196 chromosome copy number change.
- 197 • It is recommended that for each round of FISH all probes be labelled with a different fluorochrome
198 or combination of fluorochromes so that the colour of different probe signals can be distinguished
199 from one another. The signals should be 1 domain apart.
- 200 • When using prehybridization steps, such as pepsin and paraformaldehyde, it is recommended that
201 measures should be taken to ensure appropriate QC for these solutions. Creation dates of solutions
202 for all steps should be recorded and the solutions should be checked for possible cellular
203 contamination prior to use.
- 204 • Mounting medium containing antifade (with or without DAPI - depending on the probe
205 combination) is recommended to allow maintenance of fluorescent signals.
- 206 • It is recommended that prior to each FISH procedure, denaturation, hybridization and wash
207 temperatures are verified.

208 Work practice controls

209 Identification and witnessing

- 210 • The use of an adequate labelling system, written or barcoded (electronic), using two unique patient
211 and embryo/cell(s) identifiers is recommended.
- 212 • Labelling and sample identification should be confirmed for critical and high-risk steps by an
213 independent observer, preferably one who is trained in FISH. It is recommended that the unique
214 patient identifier and embryo/cell number be witnessed and signed off by two operators during
215 biopsy, sample collection and genetic testing (see also in the paper on organisation of PGT (refer
216 org paper). Witnessing is also indicated at the following steps of the FISH procedure:
 - 217 - at probe preparation, to check that the correct FISH probes (patient specific pre-validated
218 probe mixes should be correctly labelled in advance) are used for the case,
 - 219 - when diagnostic FISH results are recorded to ensure that FISH images correspond to the
220 correct cell and/or embryo.
- 221 • The location of the fixed/spread cell on the slide may be recorded to facilitate tracing.
- 222 •

223 Intra-assay controls

224 The use of positive and *negative controls* in a FISH-based PGT diagnosis may be considered.

- 225 • Suitable positive controls are not readily available (i.e. unbalanced single human blastomeres, TE
- 226 cells or other cell types to represent unbalanced human blastomeres or TE cells).
- 227 • Normal human metaphase lymphocytes may serve as control to ascertain that the probes in the
- 228 hybridization mixture identify the expected chromosomes/chromosomal regions.

229 **Pre-examination process**

230 Pre-examination process includes preclinical work-up, test development and validation.

231 Preclinical work-up and test development

- 232 • It is recommended to perform a preclinical work-up to assess PGT-SR feasibility, identify
- 233 informative probes and work on a clinical testing strategy. It is recommended to perform
- 234 segregation analysis for the intended structural rearrangement to ensure that the testing strategy
- 235 allows for the detection of all expected genotypes in the embryos.
- 236 • It is acceptable to carry out FISH tests on sperm cells from male translocation carriers in an attempt
- 237 to predict the efficacy of PGT-SR for these cases.
- 238 • When using a probe set previously shown to have a very low polymorphism rate, it is acceptable
- 239 to forego any preclinical work-up. Other probes may be more prone to polymorphism and
- 240 preclinical testing of peripheral blood lymphocytes is then recommended. Sequences in the
- 241 heterochromatin regions of chromosomes 1, 9, 16 and Y are closely related and therefore cross-
- 242 hybridization among those chromosomes is frequently observed. In addition, the D15Z1 region on
- 243 the short arm of chromosome 15 cross-hybridizes with the short arm regions of other acrocentric
- 244 chromosomes, especially chromosome 14. Moreover, the centromeric probes D1Z7 (chromosome
- 245 1), D5Z2 (chromosome 5) and D19Z3 (chromosome 19) occasionally show cross-hybridization.
- 246 Finally, an overlap of signals generated by probes specific for the centromeres of chromosome 18
- 247 and chromosome 16 is frequently observed.
- 248 • Following the fixation procedure and following each round of FISH the location and integrity of the
- 249 cells should be checked.

250

251 Pre-examination validation

- 252 • It is acceptable to perform the validation only on the partner who carries the rearrangement.
- 253 • It is acceptable to perform the validation on blastomeres and TE cells from embryos donated to
- 254 research prior to clinical PGT-SR testing. It is also acceptable to perform the validation on other cell
- 255 types such as peripheral blood lymphocytes and fibroblasts.
- 256 • It is recommended that at least 10 metaphase spreads are examined: (1) to ensure that the probes
- 257 are specific for the correct chromosomes, (2) to assess chromosome *polymorphism* and signal
- 258 cross-hybridization and (3) with respect to carriers of a chromosome rearrangement, to ensure
- 259 that the probes hybridize to the expected segments of the rearrangement.
- 260 • It is recommended that at least 100 interphase nuclei are scored using appropriate scoring criteria
- 261 (signal specificity, brightness and discreteness)
- 262 • Acceptable ranges of FISH hybridization efficiency should be determined in each laboratory for
- 263 each FISH probe and combined probe set. Validation tests should at least confirm that the probes

264 hybridize as expected, that they are informative for the rearrangement and that >95 % of the cells
265 shows the expected number of signals for each of the probes used.

- 266 • It is recommended that scoring criteria are determined ahead of time (published or 'in-house') and
267 should be adhered to as per written procedure.

268

269 Preclinical work-up report

270 General guidance and recommendations on administration and patient information for the preclinical
271 work-up report is given in the paper on organisation of PGT (refer ORG paper). A preclinical work-up report
272 should also include a summary of the PGT-SR work-up with details on the protocol and validation steps. It
273 should further describe the FISH probes used and the hybridization efficiency, the false positive and the
274 false negative rate of the probe set. Reporting may rely on the international system for human cytogenetic
275 nomenclature (ISCN). Finally, the report should include potential limitations of the test.

276 Risk assessment

277 Risk assessment should cover:

- 278 - risks caused by errors in sample tracking
- 279 - risks caused by handling biopsy samples prior to FISH analysis that, if not performed with
280 care, may compromise DNA integrity.
- 281 - risk of inconclusive or false results due to sub-optimal experimental conditions; the reliability
282 of the FISH diagnosis may be negatively influenced by the inability to accurately interpret
283 signals, inconsistent fixation or suboptimal hybridization. Signal overlap may lead to an
284 underestimation of the actual chromosome (region) copy number. In addition, locus-specific
285 and sub-telomere probes produce less bright signals when compared to alpha-satellite
286 probes and show a higher rate of split signals, which compromises correct signal scoring.
- 287 - risk of inconclusive or false results due to biological reasons: (1) Unbalanced segregations
288 may arise from crossing-over during meiosis I in the gametes of the carrier of the
289 rearrangement, (2) chromosomal mosaicism, either at cleavage stage or blastocyst stage,
290 may lead to misinterpretation of the actual embryo karyotype.
- 291 - patient's risk of miscarriage, stillbirth, (viable) unbalanced offspring, mosaic offspring or
292 offspring with a chromosomal imbalance that is below the resolution of the test, whether
293 biological or caused by a technical error.

294 Limitations of the test

295 The limitations of the FISH technique should be clearly mentioned in the preclinical work-up report and/or
296 be discussed with the patients during genetic counselling.

- 297 • FISH-based PGT-SR analysis does not allow for a distinction between embryos with a normal or a
298 balanced karyotype.
- 299 • FISH-based PGT-SR analysis does not allow for the detection of *uniparental disomy (UPD)*.
- 300 • FISH-based PGT analysis can only assess the copy number of the chromosomes targeted by the
301 DNA probes used.
- 302 • Due to the limited number of available fluorochromes, the number of chromosomes that can be
303 simultaneously detected is also restricted. Sequential rounds of FISH may therefore be required,
304 which negatively affect DNA integrity and signal quality.

- 305
- 306
- 307
- Commercial probes are available for only a limited number of loci, which may complicate the selection of probes for the analysis of rare chromosomal rearrangements.

308 1.2. Array-based PGT-SR

309 Array-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) involves the competitive hybridization of
310 differentially labelled sample and reference DNA on a microscope slide with fixed DNA probes. DNA probes
311 correspond to specific chromosomal regions and occupy discrete spots on the slide. Each spot has a colour
312 that results from the fluorescence ratio of the two colours after hybridisation. The evaluation of
313 fluorescence ratios is automated and indicative of chromosomal loss or gain.

314 Arrays are considered a more reliable approach for PGT-SR when compared to FISH since they provide
315 multiple points of measure for each translocation segment. Furthermore, they allow for simultaneous copy
316 number assessment of the chromosomes not involved in the rearrangement. This information could be
317 used to rank embryos for transfer.

318 Currently, two types of array platforms are being used. The first is an aCGH platform based on
319 oligonucleotides-providing a resolution of 5 to 10 Mb. The second is a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)
320 array platform based on oligonucleotides-providing a resolution of 2.4 to 5 Mb (see also the paper on
321 detection of monogenic disorders (refer PGT-M paper).

322 Laboratory issues

323 The aCGH workflow involves: (1) sample cell lysis and whole genome amplification (WGA) (2) labelling of
324 sample and reference DNA with different fluorochromes (e.g. green and red) (3) purification of labelled
325 DNA (4) microarray processing (hybridisation of biopsied and reference DNA samples followed by washing
326 of microarray slides), (5) scanning and (6) analysis of scanned microarray tiff images where data is extracted
327 to fluorescence ratio. The resulting *log₂ of fluorescence ratios* is computed by specific software to identify
328 structural and numerical chromosome copy number aberrations.

329 aCGH protocol

- 330
- 331
- 332
- 333
- 334
- 335
- 336
- 337
- 338
- 339
- 340
- 341
- 342
- It is recommended that wet-laboratory experimental conditions be established for all steps in the aCGH workflow followed by a preclinical assessment of the accuracy of the test to detect a chromosome aberration.
 - It is acceptable to perform aCGH-based PGT-SR on polar body biopsies, provided that both polar body I and II are analysed to achieve a diagnosis. The presence of cumulus cells attached to the *zona pellucida (ZP)* could heavily affect the result of the PGT-SR analysis. PGT-SR performed on polar bodies carries a high risk of *misdiagnosis* for the carriers of structural rearrangements due to an uneven number of crossovers that occur in meiosis I which may be undetectable through aCGH.
 - It is acceptable to perform aCGH-based PGT-SR on single cell biopsies, although they present with an overall increased noise and step change chromosome artefacts in the aCGH profile. Acceptance criteria for noise level should be part of the QA/QC parameters.
 - It is recommended to use a WGA protocol which is compatible with the specific aCGH platform that has been validated with.

343

344 Turnaround time

345 The net aCGH turnaround time from sample processing to comprehensive chromosome analysis is 24
346 hours, although results can be obtained within 8-12 hours. However, each lab needs to validate whether
347 shorter hybridization times affect hybridization-efficiency.

348 Documentation

349 Relevant laboratory documentation should include:

- 350 - a patients' karyotype, preferably at high resolution (550-800Mb). Often, the translocation
351 breakpoints are defined based on GTG-banded chromosomes. As the resolution of this
352 technique is quite low, there is a potential risk that the actual translocation segments are
353 (much) smaller than expected and hence the probability of detection of all the unbalanced
354 segregation products of the structural rearrangement (much) lower,
- 355 - a report on any previous unbalanced products of conception,
- 356 - genetic counselling report with recommendations for PGT-SR, an indication of the testing
357 method and the benefits and the limitations of the test,
- 358 - the informed consent of the couple with risk assessment and indication of test limitation.
359

360 **Laboratory infrastructure, equipment and materials**

361 Infrastructure

- 362 • To prevent carry-over of amplified DNA, the laboratory space should be divided in a pre- and post-
363 amplification room that are physically separated e.g. by a corridor.
- 364 • Preferably the pre- and post-amplification rooms/areas should be equipped with UV-C light for
365 DNA *decontamination*.
- 366 • Positive air-pressure is recommended for the pre-amplification room. When positive and negative
367 pressure rooms are present, they are preferably enclosed by a lock chamber.
- 368 • A dedicated set of equipment, consumables and laboratory coats should be used for each
369 designated area and not be exchanged between the pre- and post-amplification rooms.
- 370 • Pre-amplification steps should be carried out in a laminar down flow cabinet. The workflow
371 between the pre- and post-amplification area should be unidirectional, from the pre-amplification
372 room (clean room) to the post-amplification room only.
- 373 • Constant regulation of environmental conditions (ozone, temperature and humidity) is
374 recommended to ensure efficient labelling of DNA samples.

375 Equipment

- 376 • Equipment required for WGA and aCGH analysis of biopsied samples includes:
 - 377 - a class II safety cabinet, preferably equipped with UV-C light, to prevent contamination of
378 samples at the pre-amplification stage,
 - 379 - thermal cyclers with heated lids (one for the pre- and one for the post-amplification room),
 - 380 - micro centrifuges (one for pre-amplification, one for all the following stages) and a benchtop
381 swing out centrifuge,
 - 382 - a magnetic stirrer, fume cabinet, hybridization oven/incubator, water bath, gel
383 electrophoresis equipment to check successful amplification and a vortex mixer, and
 - 384 - a scanner, equipped with the corresponding lasers, to excite the hybridised fluorophores is
385 required to read and store the resulting images of the hybridizations. It should be placed in
386 the post-amplification room in an atmosphere with low ozone parameters, regulated

387 temperature and protected from daylight. Scanners should be validated and adjusted to the
388 required resolution for the specific PGT protocols.

- 389 • The use of a DNA quantification system (to determine the amount of amplified DNA after WGA)
390 and a vacuum concentrator (to reduce the time required to process high numbers of samples) is
391 optional.
- 392 • Associated servers should be also allocated in proper conditions and instruments used in critical
393 steps should be UPS connected.
- 394 • It is recommended that prior to each step of the protocol, the temperature ranges and or pH values
395 of equipment and solution are verified. Specific temperature and thermocycler programs should
396 be validated in individual PGT centres for all equipment, and instruments serviced and calibrated
397 regularly to ensure accuracy.
- 398 • Software for automatic calling of structural aberrations is not always available and therefore
399 segmental aneuploidies need to be manually called by the operator.

400 **Materials**

401 Materials required for WGA and aCGH analysis of biopsied samples include:

- 402 - Cell lysis, pre-amplification, amplification enzymes and buffers specific to each amplification
403 method used.
- 404 - DNA Labelling reaction buffers, enzymes and dNTPs. Cyanine-3-UTP and cyanine -5-UTP
405 fluorophores that should be used under minimal light exposure since they are light sensitive,
406 hybridization and washing buffers, human Cot-1 DNA, and DNase/RNase-free distilled water,
407 and
- 408 - microarray slides.

409 **Work practice controls**

410 **Identification and witnessing**

- 411 • An adequate labelling system with two unique patient identifiers and embryo/cell (s) number is
412 recommended.
- 413 • Labelling and sample identification should be confirmed for critical and high-risk steps by an
414 independent observer, preferably one who is trained in molecular genetics. It is recommended that
415 the unique patient identifier and embryo/cell number be witnessed and signed off by two
416 operators during biopsy, sample collection and genetic testing (see also the paper on organisation
417 of PGT (refer org paper)). Witnessing is also indicated at the following steps of the aCGH procedure:
418 - at the start of the WGA procedure to ensure that the correct volume of PCR master mixture
419 is loaded into each tube,
420 - at the start of the labelling procedure to ensure that the correct volume of labelling mixture
421 is loaded into each tube,
422 - at loading of the labelled DNA samples on array slides to ensure that each sample matches
423 the sample identifier on the slide, and
424 - when recording aCGH results to ensure that aCGH files correspond to the correct cell and/or
425 embryo.

427 **Intra-assay controls**

- 428 • Suitable positive controls are not readily available (i.e. unbalanced single human blastomeres, TE
429 cells or other cell types to represent unbalanced human blastomeres or TE cells).

- 430 • Negative controls serve to confirm that no contamination is present in the 'no-template' tube,
431 which does not confirm the absence of contamination for the rest of reaction tubes carrying the
432 biopsied samples.
- 433 • Diluted genomic DNA is recommended as positive intra-assay controls to ensure successful
434 amplification of single or few cells and the reaction, respectively.
- 435 • Negative controls with sample collection buffer, biopsy media, or washing media (based on the
436 protocols of the PGT centre) are recommended to control for contamination for each biopsy
437 sample cohort (i.e. the IVF lab negative control)
- 438 • A minimum of one negative control with amplification mixture only is recommended to control for
439 contamination during setting up of amplification reactions. (i.e. the genetic lab negative control)
- 440

441 Pre-examination process

442 Quality control

443 When using aCGH for PGT-SR the challenge is to reliably call an unbalanced chromosomal rearrangement
444 while avoiding false positives or false negatives.

445 The probability of detecting (small) unbalanced chromosomal segments depends on the performance
446 parameters of the platform used.

- 447 • It is recommended to determine the effective resolution threshold as well as the percentage false
448 negative and false positive results, the specificity and the sensitivity of the platform in a series of
449 experiments using DNA from:
 - 450 - isolated single cells from cell lines with established structural copy number changes,
 - 451 - previous unbalanced pregnancies, when available,
 - 452 - cells isolated from donated embryos from previously performed PGT-SR cases. Initial PGT
453 results obtained with a validated technique should be used as a reference to determine the
454 false positive/negative detection rate for the particular chromosome regions involved in the
455 rearrangement.
- 456 • It is recommended to test replicates of the same DNA sample in order to affirm that deviating ratios
457 most likely represent a true copy number change.
- 458 • Following DNA amplification, a clear agarose gel band should be visible and/or quantitative
459 measurement of DNA concentration should at least be 20 – 50 ng/μl.
- 460 • It is recommended to test the quality of each batch of arrays.
- 461 • It is recommended to use hybridization template forms to record sample tracking.
- 462 • Barcoding of aCGH slides is mandatory to maintain the correlation between the sample and the
463 array slide used for hybridisation.
- 464 • It is acceptable to re-analyse unbalanced embryos for QA/QC purposes.

465 Test efficiency

- 466 • To check for amplification efficiency, it is recommended that samples and intra-assay controls (if
467 used) be put on an agarose gel and/or quantified by Qubit Fluorometer.
- 468 • The use of male and female reference DNA is recommended to assess hybridisation efficiency and
469 interpret the results. Marked X/Y chromosome separation is indicative of a successful experiment
470 in gender-mismatched samples and the corresponding levels of gain for the X chromosome and

471 loss for the Y chromosome are used as a reference to evaluate aneuploidy events for the
472 autosomes.

- 473 • Gender-matched samples must show consistently no change on chromosome X or Y and none of
474 the probes in the array should report a change.
- 475 • Negative amplification, negative intra-assay control or failed hybridisation should show a
476 consistent noisy profile where no significant pattern is observed.
- 477 • It is not recommended to store non-amplified biopsied material for extended periods of time, to
478 store samples and solutions at suboptimal temperature or use repeatedly frozen-thawed solutions
479 containing DNA or enzymes.
- 480 • Hybridization bias due to drying out of the microarray surface could lead to signal loss, degradation
481 of Cy5 and suboptimal scanned images.
- 482 • It is recommended to stringently wash the aCGH slides with minimum light exposure and under
483 controlled ozone concentration, temperature and humidity. The use of lab carbon-loaded non-
484 woven filters is recommended in case of high ozone levels.
- 485 • It is recommended to avoid the use of detergents to clean the wash equipment, as this may
486 interfere with signal intensity.
- 487 • Washing and scanning of slides in small batches (2-3 slides) is recommended to minimize the
488 exposure of slides and labelling dyes to air.
- 489 • It is critical that slides are dried by centrifugation shortly after the final washing step to avoid drying
490 through evaporation.
- 491 • Scan images should have defined features with red and green images well registered and the
492 colours evenly balanced.
- 493 • The assay signal to background noise ratio (SBR) should be sufficiently high for the log₂ ratio change
494 to be observed. In case of low SBR, additional washing of the slides and rescanning is acceptable.
- 495 • It is recommended to calculate the acceptable and optimum range of QCs for every array
496 experiment. The QC measures of array data for every experiment is extrapolated by specific
497 software and is indicative for the successful calling of all target probes. The QC measures will vary
498 between array types and different scanners.

499 Preclinical work-up and report

500 Preclinical work-up

- 501 • A case specific work-up is not required when performing aCGH for structural rearrangements,
502 unless the carrier has an unbalanced karyotype.
- 503 • It is recommended to upfront ensure that all unbalanced products of the specific rearrangement
504 can be identified with the platform used. The ability to detect an unbalanced product depends on
505 the effective resolution and the coverage of the array used. This needs to be established prior to
506 clinical application by using DNA from cell lines with well-established segmental aneuploidy to
507 validate the presence and the number of all (consecutive) clones/probes representing the
508 respective chromosome regions.
- 509 • It is acceptable that 3 out of 4 translocation segments are detected to reliably identify unbalanced
510 segregation products.
- 511 • It is not acceptable to perform a clinical PGT-SR test if the size of the translocation segments,
512 inferred from the karyotype, is below the threshold of resolution of the platform used.

- 513 • It is acceptable to forego any additional work-up when performing aCGH for structural
514 rearrangements.

515 **Preclinical work-up report**

516 A case-specific preclinical wet-laboratory work-up report is not required, provided that no particularities
517 have come to light during the work-up. However, a report on the theoretical evaluation of the preclinical
518 work-up should be available.

519 **Risk assessment**

520 Risk assessment should cover:

- 521 - risks caused by errors in sample tracking,
522 - risks caused by handling biopsy samples prior to aCGH analysis that, if not performed with
523 care, may compromise DNA integrity and lead to failed or poor WGA,
524 - risks that the size of the structural rearrangement is different from the one expected based
525 on parental karyotypes and therefore may remain undetected by the aCGH protocol (if they
526 are below the resolution of the platform used),
527 - risk of inconclusive or false results due to suboptimal experimental conditions,
528 - risk of inconclusive or false results due to biological reasons: (1) unbalanced segregations
529 may arise from crossing-over during meiosis I in the gametes of the carrier of the
530 rearrangement, (2) chromosomal mosaicism, either at cleavage stage or blastocyst stage,
531 may lead to misinterpretation of the actual embryo karyotype, (3) embryos of poor
532 morphology are at risk of containing cells with degraded DNA.
533 - patient's risk of miscarriage, stillbirth, (viable) unbalanced offspring, mosaic offspring or
534 offspring with a chromosomal imbalance that is below the resolution of the test, whether
535 biological or caused by a technical error.

536 **Limitations of the test**

- 537 • Detection of translocation segments is limited by the resolution of the platform. If the size of more
538 than one out of the four translocated segments is below this resolution limit, aCGH-based PGT is
539 not possible.
540 • Detection of unbalanced segregations that have breakpoints near the telomere or in the sub-
541 telomere region is not always possible, since the probe coverage in these regions is low. For each
542 aCGH-based PGT-SR case, limitations should be investigated during preclinical work-up.
543 • aCGH-based PGT-SR analysis does not allow for a distinction between embryos with a normal or a
544 balanced karyotype.
545 • aCGH-based PGT-SR analysis does not allow for the detection of UPD. There is an increased risk of
546 UPD in carriers of chromosomal rearrangements when clinically relevant chromosomes (i.e.
547 6,7,11,14,15,20) are involved in the imbalance or a Robertsonian translocation, which involves
548 chromosomes 14 or 15 (Kotzot, 2008). Prenatal diagnosis for UPD is strongly recommended in
549 these cases.

550 **1.3. SNP array**

551 SNP array-based PGT-SR is not based on the detection of the actual chromosomes. The embryo karyotype
552 is merely inferred from the haplotypes detected in the embryo biopsy.

553 SNP array-based PGT-SR requires a preclinical work-up to phase the imbalance. Phasing is performed using
 554 DNA from the couple and one reference (a balanced reference is recommended, but an unbalanced is
 555 acceptable). If no reference is available, diagnosis can be performed during the clinical cycle and requires
 556 at least an unbalanced embryo or well-defined breakpoints to distinguish unbalanced embryos.

557 All samples need to be subjected to WGA prior to SNP array analysis.

- 558 • In case of PGT-SR for carriers of inherited balanced translocations, an added value of the approach
 559 is that, based on haplotype information, embryos carrying the balanced form of the translocation
 560 can be distinguished from normal diploid non-carrier embryos.
- 561 • Depending on the size of the involved segments, aberrant intensity ratios may or may not be
 562 detectable for the region(s) of interest. If detectable, it is recommended that the diagnosis is
 563 supported by Log ratio and B allele frequency values.

564 1.4. Next generation sequencing (NGS)

565 NGS allows for direct reading of sequenced DNA fragments and their quantification based on sequence
 566 read numbers. Depending on the sequencing read depth, NGS can be applied in different assays– from
 567 whole chromosome aneuploidy to medium size deletions or insertions in chromosomes and detection of
 568 single gene disorders. Compared to aCGH, chromosomal copy number assessment based on NGS may
 569 offer several advantages including: (1) reduced DNA sequencing cost made possible by high
 570 throughput sequencing technologies and the larger number of samples that can be simultaneously
 571 sequenced during a single experiment (the latter requires adding a unique tag); (2) enhanced detection
 572 of deletions and duplications because of the potential increase in resolution (as assessed in the pre-
 573 examination validation); (3) increased dynamic range enabling enhanced detection of chromosomal
 574 mosaicism in TE samples; (4) the potential automation of the sequencing library preparation to
 575 minimize human errors, reduce hands-on time and enable higher throughput and consistency.

576 Laboratory issues

577 NGS protocol

578 The sequencing by NGS protocol comprises five steps: (1) sample processing (2) initial quality analysis (3)
 579 library preparation, (4) sequencing, and (5) data analysis.

580 The sample processing and sequencing generally include any or all of the following processes: handling of
 581 biopsy samples (PB, single blastomere or TE cells), cell lysis, barcoding (molecular indexing) of samples,
 582 adapter ligation, amplification, library preparation, flow cell loading, and generation of sequence reads. It
 583 is recommended to perform initial quality analysis of DNA. Contamination of starting material can lead to
 584 poor sequencing data quality. The ancillary DNA quantitation equipment listed in 1.3 section are crucial to
 585 ensure the starting material will be sufficient to continue through the sequencing process. Laboratories
 586 should also consider whether they use any robotic or high-throughput protocols for DNA isolation and
 587 ensure that these protocols are optimized and have proper quality assurance. DNA sequence generation
 588 by NGS platforms is almost entirely automated and the output consists of millions to billions of short
 589 sequence-reads. Raw data produced after sequencing are further processed by computational analyses
 590 and bioinformatics using a variety of algorithms to map and align the short sequence reads to a linear
 591 reference human genome sequence.

592 As these processes may vary depending on the platform, it is recommended to optimize and validate each
593 step individually (including the entire wet bench process as well as the bioinformatic analyses) to
594 empirically determine optimal assay conditions and analysis settings.

595 For each platform, the **genome coverage** and minimum number of reads needs to be established.

596 Turnaround time

597 The turnaround time of NGS (from DNA amplification to reporting) can vary according to the platform, but
598 currently it is at least 12hours. Turnaround time is expected to significantly decrease in the future.

599 With the aim of accumulating samples for an NGS run, biopsy samples can be stored short-term, while WGA
600 samples can be stored long-term at -20°C.

601 Documentation

602 Relevant laboratory documentation should include:

- 603 - a patients' karyotype, preferably at high resolution (550-800Mb). Often, the translocation
604 breakpoints are defined based on GTG-banded chromosomes. As the resolution of this
605 technique is quite low, there is a potential risk that the actual translocation segments are
606 (much) smaller than expected and hence the probability of detection of all the unbalanced
607 segregation products of the structural rearrangement (much) lower,
- 608 - a report on any previous unbalanced products of conception,
- 609 - genetic counselling report with recommendations for PGT-SR, an indication of the testing
610 method and the benefits and the limitations of the test,
- 611 - the informed consent of the couple with risk assessment and indication of test limitation.

613 **Laboratory infrastructure, equipment and materials**

614 Infrastructure

615 General aspects on infrastructure are covered in the paper on organisation of PGT (refer ORG paper), and
616 in section 2.1 aCGH.

617 Equipment

618 NGS platforms differ, amongst others, in price, capacity, chemistry and read length. Initial set-up of an NGS
619 system should follow manufacturer's instructions and it is recommended to collaborate with the
620 manufacturer to ensure that the laboratory space has been optimized to meet the requirements. In
621 addition, it is recommended to involve informaticians with relevant expertise to make sure all required
622 elements (hardware, servers, data storage, internet) are in place.

623 NGS-based PGT requires the following equipment:

- 624 • A DNA quantitation instrument; it is crucial to accurately determine the amount of starting DNA
625 for library preparation. There are several options that give highly accurate quantitation of low
626 amounts of DNA. Amongst those is the Qubit high sensitivity double stranded DNA (HS dsDNA)
627 fluorometer, which measures dsDNA. HS dsDNA has been found to give a much more accurate
628 estimation of the amount of DNA present in the sample, compared to standard
629 spectrophotometry. The ratio of absorbance at 260 nm to absorbance at 280 nm is used as an
630 indication of sample purity. It is recommended to use DNA with absorbance ratio values ranging
631 from 1.8 to 2.0.

- 632 • Thermocyclers – DNA amplification and labelling are necessary steps during the library preparation,
633 therefore requiring the use of a thermocycler.
- 634 • Pipettors or pipetting robots –dedicated multi-channel and single-channel pipets are a necessity
635 for NGS.
- 636 • Multichannel pipette or automated systems are recommended to minimize the risks of mislabelling
637 or misallocation of samples during the different steps of the protocol.
- 638 • Sequencers should be allocated in a specifically designed room, with modulated light exposure and
639 regulated temperature according to manufacturers’ instructions. Associated servers should also be
640 kept under proper conditions and instruments used in critical steps should be UPS connected.
- 641 • Sequencers should be validated for the specific PGT protocols and incorporate the latest version
642 of the specified software, allowing proper performance of the PGT protocol.
- 643 • It is recommended that prior to each step of the protocol, the temperature ranges and or pH values
644 of equipment and solution are verified. Specific temperature and thermocycler programs should
645 be validated in individual PGT centres for all equipment, and instruments serviced and calibrated
646 regularly to ensure accuracy.
- 647 • Software for automatic calling of structural aberrations is not always available and therefore
648 segmental aneuploidies need to be manually called by the operator.

650 **Materials**

651 For all reagents employed in the different steps of the protocol, the lot numbers and expiration dates
652 should be recorded.

653
654 Depending on the manufacturer, NGS kits may include one or more of the following constituents:

- 655 - cell lysis and DNA extraction media; lysis buffer and specific enzymes for DNA extraction,
656 - DNA amplification media; some WGA protocols are PCR-based while others are not. It is
657 recommended to use a WGA protocol which compatibility with the specific NGS platform
658 has been validated, and
- 659 - library preparation media; although many methods are available, some preparation
660 procedures are specific for a particular NGS platform. Therefore, it is recommended to pay
661 attention to the compatibility of the libraries with the sequencing platforms.

663 **Work practice controls**

664 **Identification and witnessing**

- 665 • An adequate labelling system with two unique patient identifiers and embryo/cell(s) number is
666 recommended.
- 667 • Labelling and sample identification should be confirmed for critical and high-risk steps by an
668 independent observer, preferably one who is trained in molecular genetics. It is recommended that
669 the unique patient identifier and embryo/cell number be witnessed and signed off by two
670 operators at the following steps:
 - 671 - after biopsy to confirm that the embryo and the biopsy sample match,
 - 672 - at tubing to confirm that labelling of the reaction tubes with the biopsied samples matches
673 the information on biopsy/cell loading sheet,

- 674 - during transfer of biopsied cell(s) to reaction tubes to confirm that sample number and
675 patient identification match the labelling of the culture dish(es) and the reaction tubes,
676 - at the start of the WGA procedure to ensure that the correct volume of PCR master mixture
677 is loaded into each reaction tube,
678 - at the start of the library preparation to ensure that embryo identification corresponds with
679 a dedicated barcode or index primers,
680 - at pooling, to make sure that all barcoded libraries are included in the pool before the start
681 of the NGS run,
682 - during NGS run preparation; data input for each sample should be checked to ensure that
683 samples match their identifier on the plate.

684 Intra-assay controls

685 It is recommended to use negative and positive controls alongside the test samples to check if
686 contamination or amplification failure has occurred.

- 687 • As suitable positive controls are not readily available, it is recommended to use validated samples
688 containing deletions or duplications (from very small size 5Mb to 20Mb), and a *diploid* control
689 sample.
690 • Diluted genomic DNA input are recommended as positive intra-assay controls to ensure successful
691 amplification of single/few cells and the reaction, respectively.
692 • One 'no-template' reaction tube with washing buffer only and one negative control with
693 amplification mixture only is recommended to exclude DNA contamination of these media.

694 Pre-examination process

695 Quality control

696 QC parameters define the overall quality profile of the samples. Platforms have proper QCs defined as the
697 minimum reading value and the lowest noise value needed to detect a copy number variation. Because the
698 genomic resolution of NGS for PGT-SR can be an issue for small segmental abnormalities, NGS platforms
699 may have already been validated for sensitivity, specificity, negative and positive predictive value. Despite
700 the information provided by the manufacturer an implementation validation with respect to the resolution
701 is necessary. These values may vary between NGS platforms depending on coverage, insert size, WGA
702 methodology, and single versus paired-end sequencing.

703 Before testing patient samples, the analytical validity of the intended tests needs to be established with
704 appropriate QC/QA:

- 705 • It is recommended to validate the protocol using single cells from cell lines with a known karyotype,
706 or the same WGA products from embryos containing known deletions or duplications diagnosed
707 with a previously validated technique.
708 • It is recommended to perform accuracy assessment, including both normal and abnormal. As
709 different chromosome regions may have different coverage, the series of abnormal samples should
710 represent the range of structural rearrangements that the test is required to detect. It is
711 recommended to use a minimum of three positive samples for each rearrangement type.
712 • Following amplification, it is recommended to quantify DNA. DNA concentration should at least be
713 20 – 50 ng/μl.

- 714 • In general, poor quality or failed WGA products should be excluded from further analysis as these
- 715 samples may affect the sequencing read distribution per sample after library pooling and
- 716 sequencing.
- 717 • Following accuracy assessment tests, it is recommended to calculate the performance (sensitivity,
- 718 specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value) of the protocol.
- 719 • As the presence of chromosomal mosaicism is an issue when analysing TE biopsy samples, it is
- 720 recommended to include mosaic samples (i.e. a mixture of cells with known segmental
- 721 aneuploidies and euploid cells) in the validation study (see also 2.1).

722 Test efficiency

723 For amplification efficiency checking, gel electrophoresis would be recommended for samples and intra-
724 assay controls using proper standards.

- 725 • It is recommended that the WGA procedure be performed in the same tube that the sample was
- 726 collected in
- 727 • After preparation the library should be quantified and normalized for each sample before creating
- 728 the library pool.
- 729 • It is recommended to have high coverage for the region of interest and ascertain that the expected
- 730 translocation is covered by a sufficient number of sequenced fragments.
- 731 • Sequencing by NGS comprises a series of steps that uniquely contribute to the overall quality of
- 732 the data set. Thus, each individual step needs to be controlled to ensure high quality results.
- 733 • NGS run parameters (coverage, number of reads, noise) should be monitored before the analysis
- 734 of raw sequencing data to ascertain that the overall and individual run parameters for each sample
- 735 correspond to the platform specific required criteria. These sequencing quality metrics can provide
- 736 important information about the accuracy of each step in this process, including library
- 737 preparation, base calling, and read alignment.
- 738 • From the total number of reads, 70-80% should align to the genome. Lower percentages indicate
- 739 contamination in the DNA sample, degraded DNA, or suboptimal WGA.
- 740 • Each run should have an acceptable, previously established level of noise. It is recommended to
- 741 perform an internal validation to establish a test specific threshold for the overall noise value.
- 742 • Various amplification protocols are in use, which may be affected by single cell artefacts, such as
- 743 allele drop out (ADO), *amplification bias* or allele drop in (ADI), that might affect the accuracy of
- 744 the diagnostic test and therefore extensive validation of WGA is required.
- 745 • It is recommended to calculate the acceptable and optimum range of QCs for every NGS
- 746 experiment. The QC measures of NGS data for every experiment is extrapolated by specific
- 747 software and is indicative for the successful calling of all target DNA sequencing. The QC measures
- 748 will vary between NGS platforms and different software version.
- 749

750 **Preclinical work-up and report**

751 Preclinical work-up

- 752 • It is recommended to check whether the chromosomal segments involved in the rearrangement
- 753 are adequately covered, in terms of the number of sequence-reads.
- 754 • Parental karyotypes may facilitate testing and genetic counselling.

- 755
- 756
- 757
- 758
- 759
- 760
- 761
- 762
- It is acceptable that at least 3 out of 4 translocation segments can be detected to reliably identify unbalanced segregation products.
 - It is not acceptable to perform a clinical PGT-SR test if the size of the translocation segments, inferred from the karyotype, is below the threshold of resolution of the platform used.
 - It is acceptable to adjust the lower detection limit provided by the platform's manufacturer based on a feasibility study using DNA from previous unbalanced products of conception.
 - It is acceptable to forego any additional work-up when performing NGS for structural rearrangements.

763 **Preclinical work-up report**

764 A case-specific preclinical work-up report is not required, provided that no particularities have come to
765 light during the work-up. However, a report on the theoretical evaluation of the work-up should be
766 available.

767 **Risk assessment**

768 Risk assessment should cover:

- 769
- 770
- 771
- 772
- 773
- 774
- 775
- 776
- 777
- 778
- risks caused by errors in sample tracking,
 - risks caused by handling biopsy samples prior to NGS analysis that, if not performed with care, may compromise DNA integrity and lead to failed or poor WGA,
 - risk of inconclusive or false results due to suboptimal experimental conditions at WGA or due to high background noise or low coverage,
 - risk that the size of the deletion or duplication is different from the one based on the karyotypes in the parents and therefore they may remain undetected by the NGS protocol (if they are below the resolution of the test), and
 - risk of misinterpretation of the actual embryo karyotype due to the presence of chromosomal mosaicism, either at cleavage stage or blastocyst stage.

779 **Limitations of the test**

780 Limitation of NGS consist in the fact that the analysis cannot:

- 781
- 782
- 783
- 784
- detect whole ploidy changes,
 - discriminate balanced from normal results,
 - detect low level chromosomal mosaicism,
 - detect abnormalities below the predefined resolution.

785

786 2. Preimplantation testing for numerical aberrations

787 Applications of PGT-A comprises low risk PGT-A (former PGS) and high risk PGT-A (patients seeking PGT for
788 numerical aberrations such as Klinefelter and other sex chromosome abnormalities). Both types share the
789 same techniques, but protocols for aCGH and NGS applied for PGT-A may be different.

790 FISH is not recommended for PGT-A as only a subset of chromosomes can be tested and better
791 comprehensive molecular approaches to detect aneuploidy for all 24 chromosomes are available.

792 Real-time qPCR has been used for PGT-A, but the limits of the technique, such as the possibility to process
793 only very small numbers of samples and the low resolution in the detection of chromosomal mosaicism,
794 have led to its disuse in favour of techniques such as NGS. For this reason, real-time qPCR will not be
795 addressed in this paper.

796 2.1 Array-based and NGS-based PGT-A

797 aCGH was clinically applied for PGT of whole chromosome abnormalities and has revolutionized the field
798 by providing accurate identification of comprehensive chromosome copy number and rapid analysis.

799 aCGH platforms utilizing *bacterial artificial chromosomes* (BACs), chromosome-specific libraries,
800 oligonucleotides and SNPs have been clinically applied and all succeed in detecting aneuploidies in polar
801 bodies, single blastomeres and TE samples.

802 The use of NGS for the detection of copy number variation differs from aCGH by direct reads of genomic
803 sequencing fragments and their quantitation according to sequence read numbers instead of signal
804 intensity comparison of fluorescently labelled test and reference DNA samples. NGS has been extensively
805 validated using cells of a known genotype and is now used for detecting aneuploidies in polar bodies, single
806 blastomeres and TE samples.

807 Laboratory issues

808 Information on protocols, turnaround time and documents for aCGH and NGS are presented in sections 1.2
809 and 1.4, respectively.

810 Laboratory infrastructure, equipment and materials

811 Information on infrastructure, equipment and materials for aCGH and NGS are presented in sections 1.2
812 and 1.4, respectively.

813 Work practice controls

814 Information on identification and witnessing for aCGH and NGS are presented in sections 1.2 and 1.4,
815 respectively.

816 Use of intra-assay controls for aCGH

817 Information on using intra-assay controls for aCGH is presented in section 1.2

818 Use of intra-assay controls for NGS

819 • For intra-assay control in each routine test it is recommended to use negative and positive controls
820 in the same NGS run with separate barcodes with the aim to monitor if the section has
821 contamination or amplification failure.

- 822 • It is recommended to perform intra-assay control using isolated samples composed with single
823 cells containing known whole chromosome aneuploidies diagnosed with a previously validated
824 technique.

825 Pre-examination process

826 Information on test efficiency materials for aCGH and NGS are presented in sections 1.2 and 1.4,
827 respectively.

828 Quality control

- 829 • Effective resolution of the aCGH and NGS platform and protocol should be internally validated in
830 each laboratory prior to clinical application for low and high risk PGT-A.
- 831 • It is recommended to validate aCGH and NGS for aneuploidy testing with a series of positive
832 controls that should include DNA from:
- 833 - single cells from cell lines with established numerical copy number changes (aneuploidy);
 - 834 - previous aneuploid pregnancies, when available;
 - 835 - cells or TE biopsies isolated from donated embryos from previously performed PGT-A cases
836 analysed with an established technique, when available.
- 837 • It is recommended to determine false negative, false positive, specificity and sensitivity rates of the
838 specific platform to be used.
- 839 • When using aCGH and NGS for aneuploidy testing in TE biopsy samples, the possibility of
840 misdiagnosis due to chromosomal mosaicism represents the main issue relating to CNV and log2
841 ratio value threshold detection by NGS and aCGH, respectively.
- 842 • It is recommended to perform validation studies with mosaic models by using cell mixture samples
843 of aneuploid and **euploid** cell lines (ratios from 10% to 100%) to establish thresholds for
844 chromosomal mosaicism detection rates (i.e. the minimum ratio of aneuploid to euploid cells that
845 is needed to detect a chromosomal copy number variation) and quantification of mosaicism levels.
846 After statistical analysis, the results of these experiments can be used as a reference to determine
847 the mosaicism level of analysed samples. In the first step of the validation process, it is
848 recommended to analyse a wide number of euploid samples (including 6 to 8 cells from euploid
849 cell lines), in order to determine the standard deviation from the euploidy baseline value (2
850 chromosome copy number and log2 ratio for NGS and aCGH respectively) and thus define the
851 “euploidy” threshold values. Similarly, threshold values should be defined for **trisomy** and
852 **monosomy**.
- 853 • It is recommended to test replicates of the same DNA sample to perform accuracy and variability
854 assessment in independent aCGH experiments and NGS runs.
- 855 • To mimic a blastocyst biopsy, a sample size of 8-10 cells is recommended for all mosaicism cell
856 mixture models. Although validation experiments will set euploid/aneuploid parameters, it is
857 important to mention that limitations still exist when analysing biopsy samples with few cells,
858 where it will be almost impossible to detect changes that represent less than 20-30% of the biopsy.
- 859 • In order to define the detection threshold, the quality (intrinsic DNA sample quality, QC) of the
860 experiments, the noise and technical artefacts should also be considered.
- 861 • As different chromosomes might have a different resolution, the series of aneuploid samples
862 should represent the range of aneuploidies that the test is required to detect.
- 863 • Sensitivity and specificity of the mosaicism detection specifically apply for each aCGH and NGS
864 platform (hardware and protocol for WGA or library preparation for NGS) and software or
865 bioinformatics paradigm used to analyse the data. These cannot be exchanged among platforms.

- 866
- 867
- 868
- 869
- 870
- During the validation of high resolution aCGH and NGS for PGT-A, *de novo segmental chromosome aberrations* are also encountered.
 - It is recommended to establish the true resolution and specificity of the aCGH and NGS platform to detect segmental aneuploidy through a validation study that is already mentioned in sections 1.2 and 1.4 for PGT-SR.

871

872 Preclinical work-up and report

873 Information on preclinical work-up and report related to aCGH and NGS are presented in sections 1.2 and
874 1.4, respectively.

875 Preclinical work-up

876 Case specific preclinical work-up or specific genetic documentation is not required when performing aCGH
877 and NGS for aneuploidy testing (high-risk and low-risk).

878 Preclinical work-up report

879 A case-specific preclinical wet-laboratory work-up report is not required for low and high risk PGT-A with
880 aCGH and NGS.

881 Risk assessment

882 Information on risk assessment related to aCGH and NGS are presented in sections 1.2 and 1.4, respectively
883 and additional issues related to aCGH and NGS for PGT-A are listed here.

- 884
- 885
- 886
- 887
- 888
- 889
- 890
- 891
- 892
- 893
- 894
- 895
- 896
- 897
- 898
 - The clinical significance of transferring embryos with mosaicism and/or *de novo* segmental abnormalities (full or in mosaic state) is under current investigation and therefore unknown. The transfer of such embryos could potentially carry a risk of first trimester miscarriage or of a viable unbalanced offspring.
 - aCGH and NGS can detect chromosomal mosaicism and segmental aneuploidies. However, both biological limitations and technical artefacts may affect the accuracy of the test and this should be discussed during patients counselling.
 - Biological limitations may include non-specific chromosome gain or loss due to cells being in S-phase, the biopsy being non-representative of the embryo, failure to detect chromosomal mosaicism due to non-disjunction, and apoptotic or dead cells in the biopsy sample that can generate profiles resembling mosaicism.
 - Technical artefacts may include WGA artefacts, contamination, cells damaged during biopsy, and cell lysed during tubing.
 - aCGH and NGS have a risk of misdiagnosis as a result of contamination with cumulus or sperm cells.

899 Limitations of the test

- 900
- 901
- 902
- 903
 - aCGH and NGS cannot detect all variants of polyploidy and haploidy.
 - The currently used aCGH platforms for PGT-A are unable to detect small microdeletions or microduplications, such as the 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome (DiGeorge/velocardiofacial syndrome).

- 904 • Due to the intrinsic nature of chromosomal mosaicism, the chromosomal make-up achieved from
905 a biopsy only represent a picture of a small part of the embryo and does not necessarily reflect the
906 chromosomal content of the entire embryo. In this view, the mosaicism level inferred from a TE
907 biopsy might not unequivocally represent the exact chromosomal mosaicism percentage of the TE
908 cells or the *inner cell mass* constitution.
- 909 • aCGH will not necessarily detect low level mosaicism. NGS is able to accurately detect mosaicism
910 down to 20% when no noise is present in the sample.
- 911 • The number of cells in a TE biopsy is unknown. Therefore, the exact mosaicism level in the biopsy
912 sample cannot be determined.
- 913 • aCGH cannot analyse aneuploidy and gene defects simultaneously whereas NGS can.
- 914 • Based on the embryo biopsy, aCGH cannot identify the nature (meiotic or mitotic) and/or the
915 parental origin of aneuploidy whereas NGS can, provided phasing references are available.
- 916 • Noisy profiles are difficult to evaluate and appropriately score the chromosome copy number.

917 3. Strengths and limitations

918 Technical strengths and limitations of FISH, aCGH and NGS are outlined in table 1.

919 The most important limitations include:

- 920 • Based on the embryo biopsy alone, FISH, aCGH and NGS cannot discriminate between samples
921 carrying the rearrangement (i.e. balanced) and those that are not (i.e. normal) and this should be
922 clearly stated in the report. Although there is no expected difference in the phenotype of embryos
923 with a 'normal' or a 'balanced' karyotype, many couples wish to know whether the structural
924 rearrangement is being transferred to their offspring to be aware of possible future reproductive
925 problems related to the rearrangement.
- 926 • FISH and aCGH cannot, but NGS can analyse aneuploidy and gene defects simultaneously in the
927 same workflow.
- 928 • Based on the embryo biopsy alone, FISH, aCGH and NGS cannot identify the nature (meiotic or
929 mitotic) nor the parental origin of aneuploidies. However, in PGT-A parental origin is of no
930 biological/therapeutic importance.
- 931 • Based on the embryo biopsy alone, FISH, aCGH and NGS cannot detect UPD.

932 Table 1. Overview of the strengths and limitations of the methods applied for PGT-SR and PGT-A

	PGT-SR	PGT-SR / PGT-A	
	FISH	aCGH	NGS
NUMBER OF CHROMOSOMES	Information is limited to chromosomes and/or targeted loci for which probes are used.	All 24 chromosomes analysed.	All 24 chromosomes analysed.
MINIMAL RESOLUTION	Limited by the availability of (commercial) probes. Commercial probes are available for only a limited number of loci, which may complicate the selection of probes for the analysis of rare chromosomal rearrangements.	Limited by the empirical resolution of the platform established in each laboratory after proper validation of wet-laboratory protocol and analysis software	Limited by the empirical resolution of the platform established in each laboratory after proper validation of wet-laboratory protocol and analysis software
WHOLE PLOIDY CHANGES	Inferred from the number of hybridisation signals from multiple probes.	Not all variants of <i>polyploidy</i> and haploidy can be detected.	Not all variants of polyploidy and haploidy can be detected.
NO CONCLUSIVE RESULTS	As a result of improper fixation, overlapping cells or signals. Rebiopsy is an option.	As a result of cell lysis during tubing, cells with degraded DNA, cell loss or poor experimental conditions. Re-analysis or rebiopsy is an option.	As a result of cell lysis during tubing, cell loss or poor experimental conditions. Re-analysis or rebiopsy is an option.
ABNORMALITIES NOT DIAGNOSED	FISH-based PGT-SR diagnosis does not allow for a distinction between embryos with a normal or a balanced karyotype.	aCGH-based PGT-SR diagnosis does not allow for a distinction between embryos with a normal or a balanced karyotype.	NGS-based PGT-SR diagnosis allows for a distinction between embryos with a normal or a balanced karyotype, provided <i>phasing references</i> are available.
MOSAICISM RELATED ISSUES	Chromosomal mosaicism, either at cleavage stage or blastocyst stage, may lead to misinterpretation of the actual embryo karyotype.	Chromosomal mosaicism, either at cleavage or blastocyst stage, may lead to misinterpretation of the actual embryo karyotype.	Chromosomal mosaicism, either at cleavage or blastocyst stage, may lead to misinterpretation of the actual embryo karyotype.
UNIPARENTAL DISOMY (UPD)	FISH analysis does not allow for the detection of UPD.	aCGH analysis does not allow for the detection of UPD.	NGS analysis allows for the detection of UPD, provided <i>phasing references</i> are available.
RISK OF MISDIAGNOSIS	Contamination with cumulus cells. Visual inspection allows for the identification of sperm cells.	Contamination with remaining cumulus cells after ICSI.	Contamination with remaining cumulus cells after ICSI.
IMPACT OF BIOPSY ON TEST RESULTS	Cells (DNA) damaged during biopsy may have a negative impact on the reliability of the test result. Analysis of a multi-cell biopsy is less favourable compared to a single cell biopsy.	Cells (DNA) damaged during biopsy may have a negative impact on the reliability of the test result. Analysis of a multi-cell biopsy is more efficient than of a single cell biopsy.	Cells (DNA) damaged during biopsy may have a negative impact on the reliability of the test result. Analysis of a multi-cell biopsy is more efficient than of a single cell biopsy.
SIMULTANEOUS DETECTION OF CHROMOSOME COPY NUMBER AND SINGLE GENE DISORDER(S)	Not feasible.	Not feasible.	Feasible.
ORIGIN OF ANEUPLOIDY	Cannot identify the nature (meiotic or mitotic) and/or the parental origin of aneuploidy.	Cannot identify the nature (meiotic or mitotic) and/or the parental origin of aneuploidy.	Can identify the nature (meiotic or mitotic) and/or the parental origin of aneuploidy provided <i>phasing references</i> are available.

934 4. Examination process

935 Clinical testing protocols should include scoring criteria and reporting procedures as well as a framework
936 for counselling patients in the presence of diagnostic results.

937 General recommendations on the PGT examination process are included in the paper on organisation of
938 PGT (refer ORG paper). The sections below highlight specific issues relevant to PGT-S/R and PGT-A.

939 4.1 Scoring of clinical results

940 FISH results

- 941 • FISH signals should be scored according to brightness, size and distance. The signals should have
942 approximately the same brightness and size (depending on the probes used) and should be at least
943 one signal diameter apart. Two signals that are in close proximity and have approximately the same
944 size, but are not connected by a visible link, are considered as two signals. A diffuse signal should
945 be scored as one if the signal is continuous and of expected size. Two small signals connected by a
946 visible link are counted as one signal.
- 947 • Signal scoring criteria should be established in a written protocol and adhered to for the
948 interpretation of signals.
- 949 • It is recommended that signals are analysed by two independent observers and discrepancies
950 adjudicated (where possible) by a third observer. If no consensus is reached the embryo should not
951 be recommended for transfer, i.e. should be given the diagnosis of uninterpretable or inconclusive
952 result.
- 953 • It is acceptable to score signals from probes labelled with fluorochromes not detectable to the
954 human eye using an image capture system.
- 955 • All fluorescent images should be captured and filed for QC purposes. If possible the position and
956 coordinates of the embryonic cells on the slide can be recorded.
- 957 • “No result rescue” for embryos without a clear diagnosis is acceptable. An additional hybridization
958 round should be performed with probes indicative of the same chromosome(s) but a different
959 region or, if not available, at least with probes in a different colour scheme. A second biopsy can
960 also be performed, followed by the full FISH protocol.
- 961 • When there is a combination of chromatid gain/loss in the first polar body which is balanced by the
962 second polar body, a normal chromosome copy number is predicted and reported, and the
963 corresponding embryo can be recommended for transfer.

965 aCGH and NGS results

- 966 • Software analysis and copy number scoring criteria should be established in a written protocol and
967 adhered to for the interpretation of whole chromosome and segmental chromosome gains and/or
968 losses.
- 969 • Interpretation of raw data or profiles resulting after specific software by a single observer is
970 acceptable. Additional confirmation by an independent observer is recommended. If no consensus
971 is reached, the embryo should not be recommended for transfer, i.e. should be given the diagnosis
972 of uninterpretable or inconclusive result.

- 973
- 974
- 975
- 976
- 977
- 978
- 979
- 980
- All files resulting from the scanning, sequencing as well as profiles after specific software analysis should be stored and filed for QC purposes.
 - “No result rescue” for embryos without a clear diagnosis is acceptable. This could imply a second analysis of the existing WGA as well as a second biopsy followed by WGA, full aCGH/NGS processing and analysis.
 - When there is a combination of chromatid gain/loss in the first polar body which is balanced by the second polar body, a normal chromosome copy number is predicted and reported, and the corresponding embryo can be recommended for transfer.

981 4.2 Issuing a PGT report

982 General items required in PGT preclinical work-up or clinical cycle reports have been listed in the paper on
 983 organisation of PGT (refer to ORG paper). The ISCN reporting is acceptable for PGT-A and PGT-SR. It is
 984 recommended to add the following technical or interpretation items to the clinical report:

- 985
- 986
- 987
- 988
- 989
- 990
- 991
- 992
- 993
- 994
- 995
- 996
- If the profile is noisy or QCs are not sufficient, re-analysis is acceptable to try and obtain a result and this should be included in the report to the IVF centre.
 - In the absence of any amplification or when contamination is suspected, rebiopsy is acceptable to try and obtain a result and this should be included in the report to the IVF centre.
 - Each centre should decide whether or not to report mosaicism based on internal validation and recent literature.
 - The clinical significance of transferring mosaic embryos is currently unknown. The centre’s policy about the identification and transfer of embryos with mosaicism or segmental aneuploidy should be documented and shared with the patient during genetic counselling.
 - In case of an embryo with chromosomal mosaicism or segmental aneuploidy, genetic counselling should be offered to the couple and if transfer is decided and pregnancy occurs, it should receive appropriate monitoring.

997 5. Post-examination process

998 Recommendations on PGT follow-up, Baseline IVF pregnancy rates for PGT and misdiagnosis are covered
 999 in the paper on organisation of PGT (refer to ORG paper)

1000

1001 References

1002 Harton GL, Harper JC, Coonen E, Pehlivan T, Vesela K, Wilton L. ESHRE PGD consortium best practice guidelines for
 1003 fluorescence in situ hybridization-based PGD. *Hum Reprod* 2011;**26**: 25-32.

1004 Kotzot D. Prenatal testing for uniparental disomy: indications and clinical relevance. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*
 1005 2008;**31**: 100-105.

1006